

State of New York  
Supreme Court, Appellate Division  
Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: April 28, 2022

PM-95-22

---

In the Matter of ATTORNEYS  
IN VIOLATION OF JUDICIARY  
LAW § 468-a.

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE  
FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL  
DEPARTMENT,

Petitioner;

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER  
ON MOTION

NAZANIN BASERI,

Respondent.

(Attorney Registration No. 4914495)

---

Calendar Date: February 7, 2022

Before: Egan Jr., J.P., Lynch, Aarons, Colangelo and  
Fisher, JJ.

---

Monica A. Duffy, Attorney Grievance Committee for the  
Third Judicial Department, Albany, for Attorney Grievance  
Committee for the Third Judicial Department.

Nazanin Baseri, Great Falls, Virginia, respondent pro se.

---

Per Curiam.

Respondent was admitted to practice by this Court in 2011  
and presently resides in Virginia. Respondent was suspended  
from the practice of law by May 2019 order of this Court for  
conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice arising

from her noncompliance with the attorney registration requirements of Judiciary Law § 468-a and Rules of the Chief Administrator of the Courts (22 NYCRR) § 118.1 beginning in 2015 (Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468, 172 AD3d 1706, 1711 [2019]; see Judiciary Law § 468-a [5]; Rules of Professional Conduct [22 NYCRR 1200.0] rule 8.4 [d]). Having cured her registration delinquency in January 2021, respondent now applies for her reinstatement pursuant to Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters (22 NYCRR) § 1240.16. The Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department (hereinafter AGC) opposes respondent's application based upon certain identified deficiencies, and respondent has submitted a supplemental affidavit addressing AGC's concerns.<sup>1</sup>

We initially note that respondent has satisfied the procedural requirements for an attorney seeking reinstatement to the practice of law from a suspension of more than six months (see Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Nenninger], 180 AD3d 1317, 1318 [2020]) by, among other things, submitting a sworn affidavit in the proper form set forth in appendix C to Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters (22 NYCRR) part 1240 (see Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [b]). Further, she has submitted sufficient threshold documentation in support of her application, including proof that she successfully completed the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year of her application (see Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [b]; compare Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Castle], 161 AD3d 1443, 1444 [2018]). Although AGC correctly notes that respondent's affidavit of compliance was not timely filed (see Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.15 [f]), respondent satisfactorily attests in her application that she did not engage in the practice of law during the period of her suspension, as confirmed by the contents of her application as a whole.

---

<sup>1</sup> Finding no open claims, the Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection has advised that it defers to this Court's discretion regarding respondent's application.

Moreover, our review of respondent's application confirms that she has satisfied the test applicable to all attorneys seeking reinstatement from suspension or disbarment (see Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Gibson], 186 AD3d 961, 962 [2020]; Rules for Attorney Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [a]). Specifically, her application sufficiently demonstrates respondent's compliance with the order of suspension and the Rules of this Court, that she clearly and convincingly possesses the requisite character and fitness for the practice of law and that it would be in the public's interest to reinstate her to the practice of law in New York (see Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Toussaint], 196 AD3d 830, 830-831 [2021]; Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Hermanson], 188 AD3d 1555, 1556 [2020]). Accordingly, we grant respondent's motion.

Egan Jr., J.P., Lynch, Aarons, Colangelo and Fisher, JJ., concur.

ORDERED that respondent's motion is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent is reinstated as an attorney and counselor-at-law in the State of New York, effective immediately.

ENTER:



Robert D. Mayberger  
Clerk of the Court